









# Challenges on the way to energy optimized communities



**Helmut Strasser** 

SIR – Salzburg Institute for Regional Planning and Housing

WS "Energy Efficient Communities – technological strategies and their implementation", Salzburg, 3. 10. 2012



















## Challenges on the way to energy optimized communities



Background

Case Studies, Stadtwerk Lehen, Salzburg

Challenges



















## **From Buildings to Communities**

#### Buildings ...

- → High standards: from low energy buildings to passive house standard to zero / plus energy buildings
- $\rightarrow$  Technologies are mostly well known
- $\rightarrow$  Players are well known and skilled
- → Implementation supported by policy instruments: building directives, law, subsidies







... but ...

















## **From Buildings to Communities**

#### Communities ...

- ? Is sum of optimized single buildings always optimized solution for whole community?
- ? Different understanding of buildings: energy user, energy producer, energy storage
- ? How to define boundaries for communites?
- ? Which optimization criteria are relevant to adress the different needs of involved acteurs?
- ? Which mix of technologies which technological strategies can be recommended?
- ? Which instruments can be used to implement optimized solutions?





















## **From Cities to Communities**

#### Smart cities, Covenant of Mayors, European Energy Award® ...

- → Holistic approach: energy efficiency, energy supply and grids, mobility, ICT, stakeholder-involvement, monitoring, ….
- → Methodologies for integration of energy planning and optimization in urban planning
- → Characteristics of communities determines primary energy consumption / CO2-emissions
- → Implementation of smart cities, energy efficient cities, ... needs transformation to communities level

















## **From Cities to Communities**

#### Communities ...

- → Which technological strategies and policy instruments for communities lead to smart cities
- → How can the focus be put on strategies for existing building stock, which has rather higher relevance than solutions for new built communities?
- → What are the expectations and possible contributions of stakeholders: housing associations, investors, energy suppliers, …?
- → How to create a win:win- Situation for all involved parties?















## SALZBURGER INSTITUT FÜR

## **Case Studies**

#### **Several Case Studies within IEA-Annex 51**

- © Passive house strategy for communities
- © Solar strategy for communities
- © Economic optimized renovation strategy for communities
- © Energy supply strategy for communities
- © Optimization by Cluster-building,- different buildings with different use









#### **Case Studies**

#### **Optimization Criteria**

- © Maximum of Renewable Energy / Minimum of CO2-Emissions
- © Maximum of solar gains
- © Minimum of energy demand
- © Cost effectiveness: Maximum of savings with minimum of costs
- © Considering spin-off effects: upgrading of an area, ...







## Stadtwerk Lehen, Salzburg

Masterplan for re-development of a community with structural problems

Definition of community-boundaries: new buildings AND existing building stock around



Pilot project funded by EU (Concerto), Building of Tomorrow-Programm (BMVIT), Land Salzburg











#### Targets

• Low energy standard for buildings

	Specific heat demand (kWh/m².a)
New buildings	< 20
Renovation	< 30

- Energy efficient pumps and lightning of public areas
- High share of renewable energy
  - Minimum requirement > 30%
  - Consideration of available district heating















## **Energy Concept / Heat supply**

- Main system: district heating
- Solar collector fields on several buildings
- Central storage tank
- Central heat pump for increasing the efficiency of solar system?
- Heat distribution with own lowtemperature- micro-net?
- Possibility for including existing buildings in the surroundings





















## Optimization

- Variation of heat demand (timetable of realization; new buildings / existing buildings)
- With / without heat pump
- Collector area and pitch
- Size of storage tank
- Temperatures of micro net
- Different types of heat pump
- $\rightarrow$  Specific solar yield
- → Solar fraction
- → Investment costs



 $\rightarrow$  Primary energy demand / CO2- emissions















#### **Optimization: Costs and Primary Energy Demand**

- Only solution with electric heat pump and solution with double sized solar system can reduce primary energy demand compared to standard solution (but strongly depends on PEI of electricity)
- Solution with electric heat pump means lowest additional investment costs

CO2- Emissions: -89% compared to supply with natural gas

bm

für Verkehr

















## **Process design**

Challenge: Process design with mandatory,

ambitious targets and a lot of players

- Signed quality agreement (performance criteria, minimum requirements), mandatory for all partners
- Steering group with all signing partners (monthly meetings)
- Working groups (energy supply, renovation)
- Information Centre, Information activities





















## Monitoring

- Energy balance of "Stadtwerk Lehen"
- Individual energy balances of selected apartments
- Test of different ways for visualization and feed-back to tenants – which is the most effective and successful way for increasing tenants behaviour

























## **Stadtwerk Lehen: Conclusions**

- Realization of community with new buildings and renovation of existing building stock at low-energy standard
- Use of solar energy within urban area with district heating system
- Heat distribution with low-temperature micronet to increase solar fraction
- Determined process design based on quality agreement and steering group
- Monitoring system for community and selected apartments
- ✓ Involvement of tenants













## **Optimization of Communities: Challenges**

#### Driving forces, Process design

- How to strengthen the driving forces?
- Is there a win:win situation and how can this initiate projects?
- Who is responsible for process steering (city, housing associations, ...)?
- Who is addressed, who is the overall energy planner?
- Which interfaces have to be defined (to architects, individual planners, ...)?
- On which basis (quality agreement, contract, ...)?
- Who is paying for overall energy planning?
- Who decides what? Which tools for decision makers are helpful?
- How can quality standards become binding for all?













## **Optimization of Communities: Challenges**

#### **Policy instruments**

- How can task of energy optimization be implemented in urban planning?
- How can results of optimization be binding for all involved partners?
- Which instruments on which scale and level of progress development (availability of data)?
- How efficient are the available policy instruments?

#### **Technological strategies**

- How to define boundaries: urban planning technological needs?
- Which criteria for optimization (with holistic approach)?
- Which technological strategies for minimum costs and highest effect?
- Which optimized technological strategies for different purposes (incl. renovation)?











## **Optimization of Communities: Challenges**

#### **Evaluation, Monitoring**

- How to define and evaluate intermediate targets to consider long project duration?
- How to monitor community-projects data availability?
- Who is responsible for monitoring, who is reacting on results?

Necessary changes in cities need

- merging of urban planning and energy planning
- new cooperations between stakeholders
- optimized technological strategies
- effective instruments for implementation



















# Thank you for your attention!

#### helmut.strasser@salzburg.gv.at









